Approved F Rele		RDP86B00269R001100	040016-8	
	TOP STEET		25	5X1:
		2 March 1967	25	5X1 _.
MEMORANDUM FOR: Depu	ty Director of	Central Intelli	gence	ŧ
		s Report on Fore ction Requiremen		:
REFERENCE: Your	Memo, ER 67-20	07, 18 January 1	967	*
1. The subject to the problem of int written, balanced does that I have seen. The most part, sound and, the requirements proceso-called information by improving the requirements the methis respect, an effect tool; it is not, hower	elligence requiument and the been recommendation if implemented ess. I do not explosion can irements processanagement of contive requirements	pest study on the consist forth are in the consistency of the constant of the	a well- is subject e, for the ally improve r, that the controlled ritical ces. In	5X1.
2. The too much information Community, "it makes kind of information of the system with second	and, like the nup for not coll on the most important the most important the coll of the co	lecting enough o ortant targets b It is a regrett	<pre>1ligence f the right y flooding able fact of</pre>	
life that the value of judged more often by than by the intellige able, since quantity	the quantity of ence content.	f the material p This is perhaps	roduced understand-	ė
contentiously, wherean highly biased judgmer collection resource, to the limit of its opriority if possible, priority which may be mission. As noted in a capability we use a momentum and imperation.	s quality involuts. It is a furonce established apability—again but if not, the used in justicated and it developments.	lves subjective, arther fact of led, will continuins targets of hen against targe fication of its proper a life of it	and often ife that a le to collect highest jets of any collection we develop s own, with	
3. There are to my opinion, measurable		hich, if adopted capability of t		

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

Community to cope with the information explosion by establishing management controls, based on intelligence priorities, on the collection resources. The first is to promote the concept of selectivity at the source of collection. This is, of course, not a new idea but it must be given fresh stimulus and greater facility for implementation. In effect, the collector must be told what not to collect as well as to emphasize the need for attention to top priorities only. This objective is probably easier to achieve in the field of technical collection where receivers can be tuned to pick up only signals of certain clearly defined parameters or cameras programmed to photograph only a limited number of top priority targets. It is clearly much more difficult to effect this selectivity where the human element prevails and where the understandable concern exists that the collector in the field is not capable of evaluating which piece of information should or should not be transmitted to Headquarters.

4. I believe, therefore, it is not unreasonable in this connection to consider establishing some sort of quota system to be imposed upon individual collection resources. Such a concept would no doubt be viewed as arbitrary and to a degree it is; I believe, however, that the information explosion is a problem of such dimensions that hard decisions must be made to deal with it. A quota system would not be immutable but would serve as a guide for management in programming collection activities. Since the quota would in most cases be something less than the capability of the resource to collect, it should force management to concentrate on higher priority collection targets. The quota concept would apply both in the tasking of collection assets as well as in the transmittal of information, once collected, back to Headquarters. If requirements are refined and validated in line with recommendations of the

report, it should not be too difficult for the manager of a collection facility to determine if the information collected falls in Priority I, II or somewhere else. Quotas could be adjusted periodically in relation to the quality content of the information collected; thus, the mines producing higher grade ore would receive higher quotas than those producing low grade ore which would be accorded lower quotas. In such a system as this, there is obviously a risk that a key piece of information might be collected but languish in a forward area. Through proper application of an intelligence priorities system, however, this risk should be minimal and is probably no greater than having the same information lying unnoticed in a sea of unanalyzed data in an analyst's in-box. In

-2TOP SECRET
Approved For Release 2003 10 22 SCIARD P86B00269R001100040016-8

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

any case, I believe the time has come where the Intelligence Community must accept the risk of missing a possible "nugget" in order not to be buried in the slag heap.

- The second approach which I propose is to establish some sort of a community mechanism for a coordinated management Experience has shown that it is much of collection resources. easier to create a collection resource than to terminate one. is a case in point. In fact, positive action to close out low yield collection resources is rare indeed. I believe the Intelligence Community must take steps to correct this situation if the information explosion is to be brought under Specifically, USIB, at the time it considers any requirement which involves establishment of a new collection resource, should simultaneously review existing resources to determine those which might be eliminated in order to maintain an acceptable level of collected information. By acceptable here, I mean a volume of data which can be effectively processed, analyzed or utilized by exploitation capabilities available to the Intelligence Community.
- As an example, USIB now has before it certain proposals These proposals infor the collection of ABM intelligence. clude several new collection resources which will produce a high volume of data to be processed by the Community. propose that, before approving any new collection resource, USIB should ascertain which of the existing collection resources producing roughly the same volume of data to be processed should be eliminated so that the overall processing load is maintained in balance. In order to assist USIB in making such determinations, a Resources Coordination Board might be established which would maintain an inventory of collection resources together with other pertinent data in this connection. Here again, the Intelligence Community will be faced with certain actions which might be considered arbitrary. As before, however, I feel that, unless management faces up to its responsibility and is willing to make bold decisions, the Intelligence Community will continue to drift on in the direction in which it is now headed. As report points out, "The information explosion has already gotten out of hand...its deleterious effects will certainly intensify as well, unless it is brought under rigorous control."
 - 7. With respect to the recommendations of the survey pertaining specifically to the CIA SIGINT Officer, I submit the following:

25X1

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/10/22 : CIA-RDP86B06269R001100040016-8

25X1

25X1

CIA SIGINT Officer

-o-

terial.
terial.
terial.
terial.
serial.
terial.
i i

SECRET

CENTRAL	IN	CELLIGENC	Έ	AGENC:	<u> </u>
CODEWO	RD	ROUTING	SE	EET	l

25X1

TO		INITIALS	DATE
1	Executive Registry	eng	3/2/67
2	la Dir	0	. /
3			
4			
5			
6			
FROM		INITIALS	DATE
1	CIA SIGINT Officer		2 Mar 67
2			
3			

Approval	Information	Signature
Action	Direct Reply	Return
Comment	Preparation of Reply	Dispatch
Concurrence	Recommendation	File

REMARKS:

GROUP I

Excluded fram autamatic downgrading and declassification

APPENDED DOCUMENT CONTAINS CODEWORD MATERIAL

Appended document contains classified information within the meaning of Section 798, Title 18, United States Code.